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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

There is much improvement in the paper compared with the previous one. However, I have the following suggestions:

1. Add a paragraph in the last part of the discussion that summarizes the prevalence of diarrhea and the high difference between model and non-model families. Discuss your summary in the context of the area supporting it with different literatures; whenever you discuss a certain result “this might be…………” or “….probably……” is not enough. Support your argument (guess) with literatures. For example, if you say “education provides knowledge on the rules of hygiene…..” It is not difficult to support this argument with different literatures so that your “guess” is “scientific”.

2. Nearly in all parts of your discussion you said “this is in line with…….” or “this contradicts to…..” This was encouraging because you compared your result with other findings. After you state your results you said “this might be………….” or “….probably…….”this was also good but the problem is most of your arguments are not supported by literatures.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.