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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

I have reviewed your manuscript. It looks good however I would like to request you to revisit the following points for improvement your manuscript.

1. Do the Cross- sectional study appropriate for identification of risk factors? I would like to suggest you to mention the associated factor rather than risk factors.

2. How did you determine the sample size of your study? If you applied the any formula for it, please mention in the methodology part. If you used it please mention in the methodology portion.

3. How did you select the respondents for your study? Did you apply any sampling technique? If so describe how you selected the one adult from many eligible adults from the household.

4. How did you categorize the subject in to hypertensive? What did you do if subjects were already diagnosed as hypertensive with taking anti-hypertensive medications?

5. You had mentioned that t test was used for analysis of data. Can you explain why and where did you use the t test in your study?

6. Clear explanation of the limitation of the study is very essential in the research paper. I have not seen this part in the manuscript, please write about it.

Minor Essential Revisions

1. Why did you select age group of > 40 year as subject of the study? (Adult is considered age group of 18 to 64 years.)

2. You have not systematically your citation in the text. You have missed the citation number four (?). I would like to suggest you to mention these systematically number wise such as 1, 2, 3, 4, …… n.

3. I would like to suggest you to write separately the discussion and conclusion of your study.

4. I have seen lot of mistakes in tables e.g. no 5. Such as age more 50 and less than 50, where is gone age of 50? Same kinds of mistakes have been observed in many places of the table. Please revisit it.

5. What is the meaning of <0.005 in table 5?
6. Lot of inconsistencies has been observed in writing manuscript. I would suggest for the revisit of the writing the paper.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.