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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed the reviewers' comments and changed the manuscript accordingly. In the conclusion, they have included a sentence pointing to the need to measure outcomes in the future. This conclusion also contains a short summary of what is being reported in the article. However, the conclusion of the abstract merely comments on the suitability of the methods used ("Structured examinations are a means to...", an "environmental scan is a means to"). The abstract's conclusion should be modified to present in one or two sentences the main message of the manuscript. I suggest stating 1) that progress has been made and 2) that these efforts can only be the beginning of a long-term development which needs to monitored closely in the future.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.