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Title: Objectively assessed recess physical activity in girls and boys from high and low socioeconomic backgrounds

Version: 2 Date: 22 January 2014
Reviewer: Erica Hinckson

Reviewer's report:
Happy with the amendments especially introduction and discussion. A couple more comments:

Discussion

**Casual language at places e.g Line 204 “looked at MVPA, the present study looked”**

Thank you for your comment.

The sentence was rewritten as: “However, while most studies have focused on MVPA, the present study looked at all physical activity intensities and sedentary time.”

Instead of

“However, while most studies have looked at MVPA, the present study looked at all physical activity intensities and sedentary time.”

**Please address for the rest of the paper**

Some language modifications have been indicated in red in this submission.

**Line 209: “In the present study, all participating schools had similar playground space and the equipment did not differ between schools” Has this actually been assessed objectively by the researchers?**

Before experimentation, we have measured playground space in each school. Schools had similar playground space (around ~ 1300m² and 1500m²). In each playground, there was only green space and macadam ground. No additional equipment (soccer goal, basket hoops...) was set.