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**Reviewer’s report:**

The data are sound but with need for clarifications especially in the interpretation of the findings. As in qualitative data, there is no clear relationship between vaccination and sexual behaviour (dating). The assertion by authors in the section on factors influencing sexual behaviour is not firm due to the small proportions. Secondly, participants had to volunteer information about sexual behaviour and it could be that many more could have withheld the information. They should concentrate on reporting the qualitative finding which are more representative.

Could the authors explain the relationship between vaccination and sexual behaviour? Is vaccination repellant of boys who would otherwise approach girls?

There is no need for a period (full stop) at the end of the title.

Though some limitations are highlighted in the paper, they need to be specified in a clearly labeled section in the paper.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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