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Reviewer's report:

When assessing the work, please consider the following points:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
The question is well defined.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
To my knowledge, methods are appropriate and well defined.

3. Are the data sound?
To my knowledge, the data are sound.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
To my knowledge, the manuscript adheres to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition.

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
To my knowledge, the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data.

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
The limitations of the work are clearly stated in the Discussion section, however, the expert on the topic area should review these limitations for their completeness.

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
Yes, work on which the study was built is referenced.

However, some references seem outdated:


8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
Yes, the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found.

9. Is the writing acceptable?
The writing is acceptable.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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