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Reviewer’s report:

Minor Essential Revisions
1. Delete 'an' from this phrase: the intervention’s institutional environment will an influence the resources available”

2. p3: This meaning of this sentence is lost because it is long and unwieldy-recommend the authors edit into two sentences to clarify the meaning: 'The objective of this case study is to analyze the relevance of the criteria, their respective importance, and their influence on implementation and on results from the perspectives of stakeholders in health promotion interventions.'

Discretionary revisions

3. For readers not familiar with the ASTAIRE tool, it would be useful to include it as an appendix. I am not familiar with it and felt somewhat lost in this article because I didn't know the tool. That said, while a reader could go back to the references to find it, it would be helpful and practical to include it in the article.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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