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**Reviewer's report:**

I believe that the authors did a fine job addressing the concerns I raised. I would, however, have liked to see a little bit more about the generalizability of the findings and how these results might be used by others (i.e., the utility) - even though only 3- and 12-month follow-ups were conducted. This was also raised by the first reviewer in terms of what the policy implications might be of these findings. While there may not yet be sufficient follow-up in order to project those policy implications widely, this might be an opportunity to propose some thoughts that others could weigh in on in developing follow on work from this study. Otherwise, I think all other comments were addressed.
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