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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions

It is an interesting article.
It is necessary research for its geographical area and control of and prevention of hypertension.
Study definitions are lacking for some exposure or risk factors.
Please describe how did you define tobacco use and alcohol use to quantify the level of exposure.

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
The author aimed to assess the factors associated with hypertension in economic boom. However, there are very few exposure (risk factors) of hypertension related to economic boom.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?

3. Are the data sound?
Some important data such as Physical inactivity, diet pattern are lacking.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
It is well composed and adherent to standards for reporting.

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
Discussion and conclusions are well balanced and supported.
"Data provided here call for the implementation of public health policies to promote primary prevention, accurate diagnosis and access to effective treatment options for hypertension in Angola."

To make a conclusion on accuracy of diagnosis, this study findings are not enough.

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
Not sufficiently stated.

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
There is acknowledgement section wel written but it does not include such unpublished work.

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
Title and finding is not matched.
Finding cannot expres the factors associted with hypertension in the fastest growing economies in the world It will need more data or the title has to be changed.

9. Is the writing acceptable?
Writing is acceptable. The article was written in acceptable English for publication.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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