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Reviewer's report:

Thanks for authors attempt to revise the manuscript. It still needs to made the following revisions before it acceptance.

In the first sentence of the abstract please use road traffic injuries instead of road injuries following by RTIs and then use RTIs in the second line of the abstract. Kindly revise all road injuries in the whole manuscript and try to have consistency of using the same terminology.

Please use “This qualitative approach using Grounded Theory method is part of a larger study that recruited male motorcyclists who…” instead of “This qualitative study is part of a larger study that recruited male motorcyclists who” in the method section of the abstract.

Do you have updated information for this reference in the introduction section instead of 2003” In the capital city of Tehran alone there were more than 2 million registered motorcycles in 2003”

In the method section revise “We chose grounded theory approach,……” to “We chose grounded theory method…..”

Thanks authors for their revision in whole manuscript. But some part of this explanation “Although a qualitative approach may limit the reproducibility of our results, we are not aiming to identify a list of reliable and significant factors that could predict variations in behavior, as is the case in quantitative approaches. Instead, our goal is to gain an in-depth understanding of the cognitive contexts and rationalizations behind these variations in behavior” belong to the discussion section.

Please leave 26 from “Twenty-Six (26)” in the method section.

Your result presentation improved much but still you have a huge amount of information in the quotations. You need to reduce them.

It do recommend to have your main findings in the first paragraph of the discussion section and then discuss them in the next paragraph.
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