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Reviewer’s report:

The paper is much improved and reads well.
I have only a couple of remaining comments.

The first is about the questionnaire. Regarding Q 4 & 5, you advise that you intended to have the respondent indicate the total number of texts/call that were made + received. Clearly it is impossible to know whether this is how it was interpreted. A comment on the possibility for misinterpretation of survey questions in the limitations paragraph should perhaps be added. Such a comment is likely to also be more suitable for dealing with the possible misinterpretation of Qs 14 and 18 (regarding passenger or driver) - rather than the step you have taken of including the words 'as a passenger' after the case!

The second point is about your response to the question of testing collinearity: "We examined the variables in the model for collinearity using the VIF statistic and found that there was low likelihood of collinearity (VIF’s<3.9). "
Great - I suggest you include this is information in the text.
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