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Dear Editor:

Thank you for your letter and comments concerning our manuscript entitled “An economic evaluation for prevention of diabetes in developing country: A modeling study” (MS: 1760284070884481). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer’s comments are as flowing:

1. **Response to comment: “The quality of written English needs to be improved before the manuscript can be considered further.”**

   [Response]: It is really true as editor suggested that the quality of written English needs to be improved. We have sought the assistance of a devoted and also a fluent English speaking colleague to correct our language, and the particular attention was paid to the abstract.

2. **Response to comment:” manuscripts should include a ‘Competing interests’ section. This should be placed after the Conclusions/Abbreviations.”**

   [Response]: We are very sorry for our negligence of the 'Competing interests' section. We considered the questions about financial and Non-financial competing interests which were mentioned by editor. Since there was no any competing interest included in our study, we added a 'Competing interests' section placed after the 'Abbreviations' section and give a declaration of competing interests as following: “The authors declare that they have no competing interests.”
3. Response to comment:” Please document within your manuscript if the data you have used is openly available.”

[Response]: Considering the editor’s suggestion, we added the following contents in the beginning of the 'Methods' section: “Selected model inputs and assumptions were showed in Table 1 and Table 2. In general, estimated epidemiology parameters, intervention or treatment costs and Quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) were obtained from published data. The screening unit costs were derived from Publicity Medicine Prices of Hunan, China. (More details see below)”.

We tried our best to improve the quality of manuscript and made some changes following editor’s suggestions. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper.

We appreciate for Editors’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best wishes,

Jun Ma, PHD

Department of Health Statistics, College of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University

Tel: +86-22-83336608; Fax: +86-22-8336608;

E-Mail: junma@tijmu.edu.cn