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**Reviewer's report:**

Minor Essential Revisions

**Abstract:**
1. Add to the methods that this was a cross-sectional study.
2. Move the response rate to the results.
3. Add a brief description of a sample to the results (gender, mean age).
4. This sentence is not crucial for abstract and could be removed: In multivariate logistic regression modelling, the associations between concurrent physical and psychological IPV, only psychological abuse, physical and/or psychological IPV, and the demographic characteristics of the patients were explored.
5. Conclusions are partly not based on the results. You did not study the effect of IPV-related education on the management of such patients. Please, adjust accordingly.

**Methods:**
6. Add to the methods that this was a cross-sectional study.
7. First paragraph of the methods, 3rd to 6th sentence: this part would better fit to the discussion about methodology.
8. It is not clear who explained the study to the participants and who gained their informed consent. Please, add.
9. The part about the data collection is a bit unclear. You stated that you performed the interview. Who performed it – the GPs? Also, you stated that you used a scale. Did the patients filled in this scale by themselves after the interview or was this scale also a part of oral interview? Please, add this to the text.
10. The discussion about the demographic characteristics of the sample would also better fit to the discussion section.
11. Last sentence in the paragraph Measures should be moved to the paragraph Data analysis.

**Results:**
12. In the first paragraph of the results you should add also absolute numbers (now you have only percentages).
13. Figure 1 is not mentioned or referred to in the text of the Results. Please,
add.

Conclusion:
154 Conclusions are partly not based on the results. You did not study the effect of IPV-related education on the management of such patients. Also, you did not study the prevalence of missed opportunities by GPs to detect abuse victims. Please, adjust accordingly.

References:
15. There are some Slovenian studies on ethical dilemmas encountered in family practice which include the prevalence of dilemmas of abuse. Please, include them to the text.
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