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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Editor:

I have seen over your review.

Reviewer’s report:
- Major Compulsory Revisions

GENERAL COMMENTS
1. The authors did not address the issue of the vulnerability concept. The word still appears in the abstract and the discussion section (pg. 12) with no explanation at all
   Those two sections had been revised.

2. The authors did not address adequately the validation process, when just a short paragraph could have been enough
   The study design including the validation process had been added to my manuscript.

3. The data on how the patients were identified and approached only appears on the response to the reviewer and not in the paper. For me, this information is vital to understand the possibility of bias. The authors should have included this data on the paper as well.
   This information had been to my manuscript.

4. In the abstract the word categories of collection appears. This is not explained and is not a commonly used term.
   The word categories has been replaced by item

- Minor Essential Revisions
1. The English remains poor with inappropriate use of verbs and structure. I noted that on my previous review.
   Language has been the editing by edanz group