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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Editors:

According to the requirements of editing, our manuscript entitled: ‘**Impact of risk factors and activities on the health status of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in China**’ had been revised as follow:

1. The language in our manuscript had edited by the Edanz.

2. The objective and abstract of the study had been revised. The ADO index was used by Dr Christophe Pison of a peer reviewer suggesting.

3. The define of COPD patients’ vulnerability had been added. The introduction and discussion sections had been revised.

4. The translation and validation process of the HADS and MRC of two questionnaires had been clearly explained in the methods section.

5. How the 8217 COPD patients were identified had been explained again in the methods section.

6. The inclusion and exclusion criteria for patients had been added in the paper.

7. How the 8217 COPD patients were investigated and how many questionnaires returned, which had been re-explained in methods.

8. I do not agree with using the percentage in table 1, Because the value is two number multiplying.

9. Smoking and the cookers group had been revised in the paper.

10.

11. Table 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 had been revised.

12. The results had been deleted in the methods in Para 6 Questionnaire design.

13. The cookers and non cookers had been redefined in the paper.

14. How to detect pulmonary function already described in the paper.

15. The greater the age scored a higher point value had already described in the paper.

16. The fig 2, 3, 4 and 5 had been referred in the text.
17、The other problems had been revised in the text.

Sincerely yours,

Peian L. on behalf of the authors.