Reviewer’s report

Title: Assessment of the core and support functions of the Integrated Disease Surveillance system in Maharashtra, India.

Version: 2 Date: 18 April 2013

Reviewer: Peter Gaturuku

Reviewer’s report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   okay

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   okay

3. Are the data sound?
   okay

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   Yes

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   yes

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   yes

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   yes

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   Yes.

9. Is the writing acceptable?
   Yes, but as previously mentioned this manuscript could benefit from some editing.

In Summary:

i. The authors have revised the paper taking into account previous comments.
ii. This paper can be published after some minor edits (see track changes in the
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