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Reviewer’s report:

The suggestions are below.

1. Page 3. Paragraphs 1 and 2: References are the years 2005 to 2008. Epidemiological data require more current references.

2. Page 4. Paragraph 2. Explain the following sentence: “Two situations were simulated…” The word “simulated” could be exchanged for another.

3. Page 4. Results. “Histology was used in 91.9% of the examinations”. Why not all diagnoses were confirmed by histology?

4. Page 4. Results. Other data on the invasive cancers could be cited, such as staging.

5. Page 12. References. Add the year and date of access of the reference number 4.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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