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Reviewer’s report

Title: Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice towards post exposure prophylaxis for HIV among health care workers in Gondar, North West Ethiopia

Dear editor

We appreciate the reviewer for the constructive comments which we have used to improve the quality of the paper. We have accommodated the comments line
by line. We have re-written major portions of the article. To improve the language of the manuscript we have shown it to fluent English speaker so that we revise the manuscript based of his comments.

Reviewer: ABDULRAZAQ ESIN

Reviewer's report:

Comment 1: In the Abstract, Minor essential revision. Include a summary of your recommendations.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and we have incorporated a summary of our recommendation.

Comment 2: In the background Minor essential revision. Delete “s”
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and deleted “s “from the word problems

Comment 3. Discretionary revision. Please review the sentence because you already quoted a study in Ethiopia Jimma.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and deleted the word “in Ethiopia”.

Comment 4 and 5: Both comments are identical. Minor essential revision “Scored “instead of” score”.
Response: we have accepted the reviewer comment and written “scored” instead of “score”

Comment 6: In the ethical consideration Minor essential revision. Add “of”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and added “of”.

Comment 7: In the Result, Minor essential revision. Is 119 the total sample size or 195?
Response: the total sample size is 195; the number 119 is the no of male respondents. We have accepted. The statement is misleading therefore we have revised it and made it clearer.

Comments 8: Minor essential revision. Add “group” and remove” from”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and added “group” and deleted “from”.

Comment 9, 10 and 11: Minor essential revision. Add (,) Minor essential revision. Add (,) Minor essential revision. Remove (,)
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and incorporated them in the manuscript.

Comment 12: Minor essential revision. Be more specific. It’s better to state the commonest source than the expression “from any source.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and to be more specific we have written the data of the commonest source which is “formal training” than from any source.

Comment 13: Replace” know” with “knew”.
Response: We have accepted the comment of the reviewer and replaced the word “know” with “knew”.

Comment 14: Minor discretionary revision. “Duration and how long” technically are synonym
Response: We have accepted the comment of the reviewer and removed the word “duration”.

Comment 15: Add “of” (first sentence of third paragraph of the result)
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and added “of”.

Comment 16: Guidelines
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and written “Guidelines” instead of “guide lines”

Comment 17: LIKELIHOOD NOT LIKELY HOOD
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and written likelihood and removed likely hood.

Comment 18: This paragraph requires grammatical review. Some points are noted below
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and we have rewritten the paragraph by reviewing the grammar.

Comment 19: Please review to make the sentence active and direct.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comment and reviewed the sentence.

Comment 20: “Started” instead of” start”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and incorporated in the manuscript

Comment 21: Minor discretionary review. I think “located in“ is more suitable.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and we have added “located” in the sentence.

Comment 22: Please review. Result In table 2 indicated 50.8% of the total respondents and not of the exposed.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and corrected it by removing the “exposed” and substituting with the “total respondents”.

Comment 23: Suggestion;”Low “instead of “minimum”.


Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and written “Low” instead of “minimum”.

Comment 24: This statement is not clear to me. I suggest you review the sentence
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and revised the grammar.

Comment 25: If this statement is saying that knowledge about PEP is higher in this study then state it categorically and not the other way round.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and rewritten it

Comment 26: “The” instead of “e”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and incorporated it in the manuscript.

Comment 27: Please review the sentence for clarity.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and rewritten the sentence.

Comment 28: Please review as active and direct sentence.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and rewritten the sentence.

Comment 29: Consider” that” instead of “ a”
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and we have written “that’ instead of “a”.

Comment 30: Delete” has”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and deleted the word “has”.

Comment 31: Consider “Complete” instead of “finish”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and deleted “finish” and written “complete”.

Comment 32: “Improvement” not “improvements”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and written “improvement” instead of “improvements”.

Comment 33: Consider “findings” instead of “results”.
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and written “findings” and deleted “results”.

Comment 34: Delete “a”
Response: We have accepted the reviewer comments and deleted “a”.