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Reviewer’s report:

General comment:
The paper is much better now. The flow is good now. It is much easier to understand.

Specific comments:
• The author may want to revise the title to underline the situation of the indigenous community under studied or to point out the importance of giving attention to them – instead of just having a neutral word “Perspectives”; e.g. Food insecurity exists in a remote indigenous community in sub-arctic Ontario, Canada; or The need to focus on food insecurity of a remote indigenous community in Ontario …; Food insecure indigenous community within food secure nations: the case of … in Canada.
• P. 5, 3rd paragraph, 3rd line. You mentioned high prevalence of household food insecurity …. – you may want to mention the number here.
• P. 6, last paragraph, 5th line from bottom. You need to end the sentence, before the word “As”
• P 7, 1st paragraph, line 2 from the bottom. Extended family – do you have a range of family member?
• P. 10, 1st line. Maybe you need to define “Band” here, because this is the first time that it is mentioned?
• P. 12, 1st line. You may want to reword the sentence? – “…. codes were opened to be coded …”
• Discussion.
  o You may want to discuss more in-depth of the results found and add some more discussion of the items in the Figure that you have so nicely put together; e.g. drinking water is not yet mentioned in the discussion; traditional food storage, dietary change
  o Do you have any suggestions on how to improve poverty?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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