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Dear editor,

Thank you for giving us the support and feedback on our manuscript.

We have followed the reviewer’s comments. Please see the changes in red font.

For reviewer 1 comments:

1. Regarding the term community nursing faculties

   Ans. Thank you for your notification, we have reworded with red font (please see pages 3, 5, & 9).

2. Regarding a transition from health promotion activities to betel nut production is needed.

   Ans. Thank you for your comments, we’ve added. Please see the red font on page 5.

3. Regarding the statement needs to be revised in alcohol section

   Ans. We have reworded and please see page 7. Thank you.

4. Regarding the sentences on same section but the following needs to be revised to be clear, …

   Ans. Thank you, we have re-edited. Please see the red font on page 7.

5. Regarding power analysis

   Ans. Thank you for your comments, we’ve delete it.

6. Regarding to add a clearer description of how the variables were chosen for the logistic Regression.

   Ans. We have added some sentences on page 11 and thank you.

7. Regarding “overall fine but may want to identify……

   Ans. Thank you for your support. To more focus on modifiable factors is our concern as well and was the reason why we gave more pages on subtitle “More specific health promotion programs are necessary initiated in the western coastal rural areas” in discussion session and not mentioned too much on male, low education level..etc.
8. Regarding some discussion that needs to be focused on….

Ans. Thanks for your comments. We have added some sentences on page 14-15 with red font.

9. Regarding self report issue

Ans. We have added this limitation on page 178 and thank you for your kind reminder.

For reviewer 2 comments:

1. With regard to the issue of no showing the logistic regression estimation result of alcohol consumption

Ans. Sorry for causing you the confusion! We did mention that in text in previous version, but not put it into the table.

1. Regarding more women than men participated in this study

Ans. Because this study was performed during the day, most men cannot participate in this study since they have to work. Thanks for your reminder and we treated it as a limitation and please see the red font on page 17.

1. Regarding the introduction part, …

Ans. Thank you for your suggestion, we have added it and please see the red font on pages 5.

2. Regarding more detailed description about study design

Ans. Thank you for your comments, we have added it with red font on page 7.

3. Regarding the confusion statement on page 12

Ans. We double check and didn’t see it in text but thank you.

With regard to discretionary Revisions:

1. Regarding page 9, “Alcohol drinking, betel-nut chewing and cigarette smoking (ABC) habits were measured using five questions…”, …
2. Regarding weighting by gender to reflect the proportions of population of ABC consumption

*Ans.* Thank you for your comments. We’ve rerun the logistic regression analysis by gender separately and found the results are similar among men, women, and both genders (correlates are the same but the values of Odds Ratio and P are different). In addition, as explained above, it’s a real situation (more women participated in this study because they were free during the day). We, therefore, decided to leave it but put this concern in the limitation (page 17).

3. Regarding why “Vegetable and fruit” isn’t in the logistic regression model

*Ans.* Sorry for this confusion. Actually we’ve included “vegetable and fruit” in the model but didn’t put it in Table 3 because it is non-significant in the final model. To avoid this confusion, we have added some sentences on page 13 and thank you.

4. Regarding Page 13, line1 and line3, Replace…

*Ans.* We have replaced them and thank you. Please see the red font on page 12.

5. Regarding providing the number in the table...

*Ans.* Thanks for your suggestion. We have added it in the Table 2.

6. Regarding the last paragraph of the Page13,…..

*Ans.* We have added more explanations with references on page p14-15 and thank you.