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The Editor (s)

BMC

Subject: Revised Submission (Revision 3): Original Research Article

Dear Editor (s),

Thank you for considering our paper entitled “Cross-cultural adaptation of the short-form condom attitude scale: validity assessment in a sub-sample of rural-to-urban migrant workers in Bangladesh”. We appreciate the editor(s) for their valuable suggestions and inputs to improve the paper. Please find below our detailed response to the editors’ comments. The specific issues are addressed below and clarified/amended in the appropriate sections of the manuscript (please see the revised manuscript with highlighted changes).

We hope our revisions will meet with your approval criteria.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Tapash Roy
BRAC Health Programme
BRAC Centre (16th Floor)
75 Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh
Tel: +88029881265; +8801712124335
Email: tapash.ro@brac.net; tapash_68@hotmail.com; Tapash.Roy@nottingham.ac.uk
New Title:
Cross-cultural adaptation of the short-form condom attitude scale: validity assessment in a sub-sample of rural-to-urban migrant workers in Bangladesh

Response to Editor's comment:

Comments:

"There are some verbatim quotations on pages 12-13 which were not referred to at all in the text. See for example, ? he questionnaire looks fine to me. The questions were very simple and easy to understand? on page 12. The authors should clarify the person or groups of persons who this statement and under what context was it made?"

We would be grateful if you could address the comments in a revised manuscript and provide a cover letter giving a point-by-point response to the concerns.

Response:

As suggested by the Editor, we have now clarified the statements and context in the qualitative result section of the manuscript (pages 12 and 13).