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Reviewer's report:

Overall, this is an interesting study with potential clinical implications.

Some comments may be of value:
1. “compared to” should be changed to “compared with”
2. abbreviations of regularly repeated terms throughout the text should be used e.g. CVD = cardiovascular disease, BP = blood pressure
3. please provide all abbreviations in Table 2 (i.e. ACE) and Table 3 (CER, ICER, Educ., SCORE).
4. it would be useful if the authors could add some comments in the method section better explaining the cost effectiveness analysis from a physician’s point of view so as those who are not familial with this analysis be able to understand the study’s results.
5. a short comment on the beneficial effects of multifactorial intervention on CVD risk reduction could be added in the discussion section; should the authors wish, they can use the following refs:

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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