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**Reviewer's report:**

Dear Michelle and Stephen,

Well done on your revisions of this manuscript.

**Minor essential revisions**

This manuscript would benefit from one more use of the spell-checker to detect extra spaces and one or two very minor errors of punctuation and one missing word.

**Suggested edits:**

Abstract: results second last line: suggest insert 'while' before 'uncertainty' for clarity.

Body:

Methods par 1 - suggest delete "yet such stories were still broadcast" - the logic of this phrase works against the main thrust of this sentence.

Results par 2 - delete second comma after "(n=13)"

Discussion par 3 - correct quotation marks to "access to 'medical breakthroughs'"

Discussion par 4 - insert space between 'its' and 'presentation'

Discussion par 6 - fifth line from end of par: suggest change 'it' to 'they' as you appear to be referring to four tv items not one.

par 9 - third last line - suggest insert 'is' between 'growth' and 'significant'

Conclusions par 1 third line, there may be an extra space after 'that'

**Preferred wording for title:**

I would prefer to see the wording of the title re-ordered thus:

Australian news media framing of medical tourism in low- and middle-income countries: a content review.

The current title suggests the paper is investigating how the news media coverage was framed when the paper is a report on how the news media framed medical tourism.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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