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To: Editorial Board
BMC public Health

22, October 2012

Dear sir /madam

It is to be recalled that we have sent a paper entitled ‘Barbers’ knowledge and practice about biological hazards associated with their work was low in Gondar town, North West Ethiopia’ to be published on your journal. However, as per your comment we modified the title of the paper to be ‘Barbers’ knowledge and practice about occupational biological hazards was low in Gondar town, North West Ethiopia’. The other comments have been addressed one by one as follow.

Name of Reviewer: George

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Response to comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The title has not been revised accordingly.</td>
<td>1. Comment considered and adjusted as follow; ‘Barbers’ knowledge and practice about occupational biological hazards was low in Gondar town, North West Ethiopia’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. In the methods section the authors still use the term: community cross-sectional study. Please remove the term “community”.</td>
<td>2. Comment was accepted and removed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The authors should include their response to point 6 (implications of the study results for competent authorities) in the discussion section of the manuscript.</td>
<td>3. Comment was accepted: We incorporated this into the conclusion part as recommendation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>