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**Reviewer's report:**

Thank you for the revised manuscript. I have read the authors accompanying letter, responses and revised manuscript and am satisfied that my concerns and comments have been addressed.

There are a couple minor issues/typos that I would suggest correcting before publication.

1. Page 3, Paragraph 3, the sentence that begins “There is therefore…” The wording in this sentence could be improved (e.g. eliminate therefore or reword to eliminate there).

2. Page 4, first paragraph, last sentence that begins “Action plans require…” I would suggest changing the phrase “age on the door” to age at the door.”

3. Page 4, second paragraph, second sentence that begins “A preparation phase…” It might be more clear if you divide this sentence into 2 sentences and eliminate the parenthesis (ie present the content currently in parenthesis in regular text).

4. Page 4, second paragraph, third sentence that begins “An auditor is…” This sentence is long and could be reworded to be clearer.

5. Page 9, self-report violence section, the sentence that begins “From his we inferred…” His should be this.

6. Page 10, analytic strategy paragraph, sentence beginning “However premises-related…” The wording in this sentence is unclear.

7. Page 16, 2nd paragraph in the discussion, sentence beginning “Our parsimonious approach…” The wording in this sentence is unclear.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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