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Author's response to reviews:

Ethical approval and external funding has been received for the above study – evidence sent via email.

The study has a trial registration number details of which are included in the manuscript but I have also added these to the submission system.

The name of the ethics committee is already contained in the manuscript methods section under the heading Ethics page 24/25 and reads “UK NHS National Research Ethics Service NRES Committee South West – Exeter (reference number 11/SW/0260)

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require any further information.