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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript submitted to the BMC Public Health entitled “Adopting and implementing nutrition guidelines in recreational facilities: Private and public sector roles. A multiple case study” is an interesting one, and it has potential interest in its field. However, I suggest the following major points to improve the soundness of the study:

Major Compulsory Revisions

1) I would like know if the variation intra- and inter- investigators was done in qualitative observation of adoption/implementation of ANGCY?
2) In table 2, I would like know what age range of children?
3) In table 3, I think that amplitude of nutrient composition (food/beverage) should be included in results section or in table.
4) How the authors explain the difference between proportions of “availability of CMO food items” and “availability of CMO beverage”?
5) The results section is described in length, which difficult the reading of the study. It should be shortened?

Minor Essential Revisions

1) Figure 1 was not attached.
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