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Reviewer's report:

Major compulsory revisions:
Information on using the statistical package in the abstract is not necessary. Instead, the authors should only concentrate on briefing the data set (which is not currently included) and its analyses in the abstract section.

Here is a major concern that needs to be addressed. It is confusing whether the study based on primary data or it was based on a secondary data analyses. In fact, it is clearly stated that the data has been extracted from IBBS round three. However, the description on sampling and data collection seems that it was primary data and it sounds that this data set was primarily meant for this study. Please clear it in the method section.

Minor Essential Revisions
Heading and subheadings of table 4 need to be revised and also revise the numbering of the tables.

Discretionary Revisions
Table 4 is too long. I still don’t find any justification of keeping the whole table. However, if it is essential from the authors’ point, the table should be split and the knowledge should be included in a separate table.

Most of my previous points have been addressed. The paper can be published. However, please consider my current points before finally accepted for publication. Nevertheless, the article also need some language corrections.