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Author's response to reviews:

Dear editor,

based on your suggestions, the following revisions are made:

- the booklets are submitted as additional files (also referred to in the manuscript)
- In the methods section - stimulus materials, the first line states that we have permission from Brown to use the booklets.
- the competing interests section is added.
- the abstract is formatted according to the guidelines for authors.

When revising this article, we made a few more changes:

- to be more 'meta-analysis friendly', we decided to report Cohen's D's in the results section (instead of both eta's and cohen's D's).
- we added a page with e-mail addresses for all the authors (e-mail addresses did not fit on the title page).
- Acknowledgement is moved from the title page to the the end of the paper (before the references).

Thank you for your suggestions to improve this manuscript.

Sincerely,
Gill ten Hoor