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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? YES
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? YES
3. Are the data sound? YES
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? YES
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? I think discussion could be more precise in terms of the novelty of this study. Authors frequently repeat result section.
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? YES
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? YES
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? YES
9. Is the writing acceptable? In my opinion, this manuscript should be accepted. However, it needs a reformulation in the discussion section.

Please make your review as constructive and detailed as possible in your comments so that authors have the opportunity to overcome any serious deficiencies that you find and please also divide your comments into the following categories:

- Discretionary Revisions (which are recommendations for improvement but which the author can choose to ignore)
- Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
- Major Compulsory Revisions (which the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Once you have done this, there are also some questions for you to answer, including one that asks your advice on publication. Please remember that it is journal policy to publish work deemed by peer reviewers to be a coherent and sound addition to scientific knowledge and to put less emphasis on interest levels, provided that the research constitutes a useful contribution to the field. Further guidance on these points follows.
BMC Public Health takes seriously issues of misconduct. Any manuscript or substantial parts of it submitted to the journal must not be under consideration by any other journal although it may have been deposited on a preprint server. The manuscript should not have already been published in any journal or other citable form, with that exception that the journal is willing to consider peer reviewing manuscripts that are translations of articles originally published in another language so long the consent of the journal in which the article was originally published has been obtained. Reviewers are asked to note whether they think duplication or plagiarism has occurred. Reviewers should also let the journal know if they believe that research has been falsified or manipulated, or if there are issues with the authorship or contributions towards the manuscript, such as the unacknowledged involvement of a medical writer.

REPORT TEMPLATE

Confidential comments to editors

Please use this only for comments that relate to ethical or policy issues. Do not use it to repeat all or part of the comments in your review for the authors. These comments will not be included in the report passed to the authors or posted on the site.

I believe that is a very interesting manuscript and I strongly recommend to publication.
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Please number your comments and divide them into

- Major Compulsory Revisions
  The author must respond to these before a decision on publication can be reached. For example, additional necessary experiments or controls, statistical mistakes, errors in interpretation.

- Minor Essential Revisions
  The author can be trusted to make these. For example, missing labels on figures, the wrong use of a term, spelling mistakes.

- Discretionary Revisions
  These are recommendations for improvement which the author can choose to ignore. For example clarifications, data that would be useful but not essential.
Discussion can be improved.

Please note that both the comments entered here and answers to the questions below constitute the report, bearing your name, that will be forwarded to the authors and published on the site if the article is accepted.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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