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**Reviewer's report:**

Minor essential revisions

1. The point made in my first review about recommending moral teaching appears not to have been understood. Drivers and conductors say that moral education is needed, and you have uncritically accepted this. I noted that what the participants said gives you information about how THEY see the issues, but that doesn't mean they are right about the solutions. Moral education involves telling people what is right and what is wrong - are you accepting that passengers are violent because they don't know that it is wrong? This seems most unlikely. It is more likely that there are other reasons which outweigh the morality of the behaviour. If this is the case, then moral education will probably be a waste of time because it will not address the factors which influence the behaviour. This is a common issue in public health and in criminological theory and research. You need to find some way of showing that you understand this issue in your discussion and recommendations.

2. The assertion that saturation was “likely” reached is still not very satisfactory. It would be better to say either “was considered to have been reached” if you think you did reach it, or else “may have been reached, however it was not possible to conduct further interviews to confirm this”.
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