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Reviewer's report:

The authors have responded well to the reviewer critiques. A few minor revisions are:

--It appears that social norms were measured, not social support. However, in line 324, the authors compare their social norm results to family and peer support in adolescents. However these are 2 different constructs that should not be confused. In line 351, it might be good to add social support to the list of examples for correlates that were not included in the current study (as a study limitation).

--Lines 165-166: it appears your measure of social norms was an adapted version from the previously validated questions that measured social norms towards physical activity (e.g. from reading table 1, it looks like wording was changed to reflect the target sedentary behaviors rather than physical activity as in the original). This should be clarified. Additionally on lines 331-33 you mention that using more-specific questions to assess social norms towards SB was a limitation but if the wording was changed to reflect SB then this isn't as much of an issue. I think you could delete the second part of the sentence if this is the case.
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