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**Reviewer's report:**

The authors have addressed all of my comments. They have done a great job. However, I have to mention two minor essential revisions:

1) The authors should include in the abstract and in the methods section the final number of individuals available for the analyses of CVD and AMI incidence. I think this is one of the strong points of the study and it is worth it to mention.

2) As one of the reviewers pointed out, the authors should include in the discussion section of the manuscript as a potential limitation of the study the omission of education data due to “poor validity”.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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