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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? Yes
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? Yes
3. Are the data sound? Yes
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? Yes
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? Yes
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? Yes
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? Yes
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Yes
9. Is the writing acceptable? Yes

In reviewing the revised manuscript, please consider whether the authors have answered your points sufficiently well to allow their manuscript to be published. As before, we would like you to divide your comments into the following three categories:

• Discretionary Revisions (which are recommendations for improvement but which the author can choose to ignore) Nil
• Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct) Nil
• Major Compulsory Revisions (which the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached) Nil

NEXT STEP

Comments/corrections given have been satisfactorily made.
- Accept without revision