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Reviewer's report:

2nd Report
1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? Yes
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? Yes
3. Are the data sound? Yes
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? Fair
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? Yes
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? Yes
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? Yes
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? Fairly well.
9. Is the writing acceptable? Yes

# Major Compulsory Revisions

Authors should please explain what pranks and severe pranks mean. This and other terms used in the paper (especially in the tables) should be explained

# Minor Essential Revisions

Background has too many (small) paragraphs.

# Discretionary Revisions

Tables look rather busy, would be good to simplify.

Others- May be good for authors to list page and line numbers of corrections made next time.
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