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Reviewer's report:

Comments to authors:

The text is well arranged and the logic is clear. Except English writing, there are many mistakes in the manuscript and the results do not show good. The following are the questions and some mistakes in this manuscript:

1. It is noted that your manuscript needs careful editing by someone with expertise in technical English editing paying particular attention to English grammar and sentence structure so that some ambiguities can be avoided.
2. The title didn’t accurately convey what has been found. From your title, I can only get the participants, but can’t gain what you have conducted among these people.
3. The number of decimal places should be consistent through the entire article.
4. Pay attention to the end of line 2, page 9. I’m not sure why a p-value was presented here. Maybe the authors want to compare the mean YIAS score between internet addicts and normal internet users. Please make it clear.
5. The interpretation of OR value was not proper. An OR value can’t be expressed with a percent sign after it. For example, “2.77%” should be changed to “2.77”, in paragraph 2, line 1, page 9. The same problem can be find in page 10, 11 and so on. Please check the whole paper carefully.
6. The findings of the study were not explained adequately in the “Result” part, especially the data in Table 3.
7. The gender percentage of normal internet user was not correct. See Table 1, row 5, column 2. Also in this table, the “N” should be changed to “n” (row 2, column 2).
8. The data in Table 3 do not support the statement “…neither...nor internet addiction among adolescents were associated with emotional maladjustment.” From this table, I can see that the internet addicts were 3.15 times more likely to be emotional abnormal. See paragraph 2, line 1 to 2, page 14.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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