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**Reviewer's report:**

I am happy that the authors have addressed most of my comments. However, in the conclusion they state that not much has changed and that they are disappointed. Considering publication lag much of the additional UK research that they have reviewed was probably done prior to the changes and I should like them to comment on this.

As the authors cannot follow the editor’s suggestions and as many of the papers are non UK it would be really useful to include a table giving a breakdown of where the studies in each area, e.g. Chlamyida, EHC and soon have come from and how many new studies there have been since the changes they mention.
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