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Reviewer’s report:

Discretionary Revisions

I think the revised paper is good. The following comments can be freely considered by the authors.

1. In the background section, the authors gave a hypothesis about the relation between migration and NCMS enrollment rate. But if the aim of this paper was not to "test" this hypothesis, this conjecture would be better to be used to explain the results in the discussion section.

2. It would be better to add a table about the variables used as control variables in the regression analysis. Demographic characteristics between non-migrants and migrants were significantly different. Had these characteristics been controlled?

3. As the authors mentioned, currently, the funding pool of health insurance in rural China is at the level of county, which makes service rebates outside residents’ home counties administratively complicated. In my understanding, unless the risk-pool is enlarged to the level of province, or the nation, the problem is likely to remain. However, it seems that the authors want to solve this problem by extending "benefits to migrants under the NCMS". So I want to know whether the authors have detailed policy suggestions.
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