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**Reviewer's report:**

This is an interesting study. The use of English requires attention in several sections of the paper; pg. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. Data on page 9 77.1- 91.3% plus 82.9% - 90% it is unclear from the tables where these figures come from? Qualitative data are mentioned in the methods but there is no mention of the data or how interviews added to the outcomes of the study with no data on patients stories and how these developed?

The 15 education sessions are not discussed in any detail. Maybe this study represents only the quantitative data and if this is the case it has to be explained in the methods. The implications for practice could be strengthened.

Need to clarify these issues before any publication outcome can be justified.