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Reviewer's report:

This is a well written, interesting paper.

Minor essential revisions: I feel it is a lost opportunity to not include subjects with low educational status in the sample. As you have not, could you please comment in this in your discussion section (or strengths and limitations section)?

page 20: reference Beatty and Willis needs to be reformatted

Discretionary revisions Pg 21 the sentence "Another explanation... difficulties with the domains" is difficult to understand. Could you please rephrase?
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Quality of written English: Acceptable
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