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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear editor,

Please see our response to your request for changes to the format of our manuscript below (in capitals). The manuscript should now conform to journal style.

Kind regards,

Kristiann Heesch

Major revisions (we require the author to make these changes)

Abstract: Please ensure the subheadings are visible in the submission system online
AS WE WERE NOT ABLE TO USE BOLDFACE IN THE ONLINE SUBMISSION SYSTEM, WE HAVE ADDED EMPTY LINES BETWEEN EACH SECTION OF THE ABSTRACT.

Tables: we are unable to display shading and colours within the tables. Can we therefore ask you to use another method of highlighting the shaded text e.g. by making it bold.
WE HAVE REMOVED THE SHADING FROM TABLE 2.

Minor revisions (we can make these changes for you, although it will speed up publication of your manuscript if you do them while making the major changes above)

References: the reference list should contain up to 30 authors’ names for each citation. The term 'et al.' should not be used if there are fewer than 30 authors.

Highlighting/tracking: Please remove all highlighting and tracking from the manuscript.
WE HAVE REMOVED TRACKING THROUGHOUT THE MANUSCRIPT.

Typography: Please take this opportunity to check your manuscript for any typographical errors and to make any final corrections or revisions. This is the final proofing stage for your manuscript, and you will not be able to make any changes after acceptance.
WE HAVE CAREFULLY PROOFREAD THE MANUSCRIPT AND WE HAVE CORRECTED SOME MINOR ERRORS.