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Reviewer's report:

Generally, this is an interesting and clear paper.

Minor Essential Revisions:

1. Methods:
The authors selected some questions (Personal Communication with Author AM) to assess social consequence of perinatal loss. Do the authors have determined a quantitative criterion for judging the consequence, like the cut-off score of 10 for DPDS-B?

2. Could the authors explain why they divide the residential area into A, B, C and D (in Table 1)? Does the residential area make sense for exploring the relationship between perinatal loss and psychological and social consequence?

Results:

3. Does Table 2 make sense? Many scales are not recommended to be used as by single item. Further, it is difficult to understand the presentation of Table 2. It would be better if the columns are replaced with perinatal death (yes or no).

4. What does the 'Matlab' in the title of Table 3 mean? Matlab software?

5. Table 4, I don't think it is a good way to regard social consequence indicator and depression at 6 weeks postpartum as independent variables. Because it is very difficult to say clearly the causation between social consequence and psychological distress. I would suggest doing a multivariate analysis based on Table 3 (treat age, education, family structure, residential areas and economic status as confounding factors; psychological distress and social consequence as outcome variables.)

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.