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**Reviewer’s report:**

This review try to analyze the effectiveness of Workplace health promotion in improving presenteeism and identify characteristics of successful programs and potential risk factors affecting workers The authors reported all steps of the comprehensive review explicitly, thereby making the process more transparent and reproducible although only English was selected. This topic is very interesting.

- **Minor Essential Revisions**

  Results section, L.3. “scientifcally” should be replaced by “scientifically”

- **Discretionary Revisions**

  The results are displayed in two sections “Interventions demonstrating positive effects on presenteeism” and “Interventions not demonstrating improvement in presenteeism” but the authors do not explain the criterion to include each interventions in each section.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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