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Reviewer's report:

- Major Compulsory Revisions

1. The authors briefly address the issue that they did not try to contact mobile-telephone only households. They mention that they do not expect the exclusion of these households to bias the results of the study, however they provide no data to support this assumption. Did the authors utilize weighting to adjust for potential noncoverage bias? In fact, the following article indicates that not including a cell phone sample biases the results (when weighting is not used): Lee S, et al. Health Serv Res. 2010 Aug;45(4):1121-39. If weighting was used then this would become a minor essential revision where the authors clarify their use of weighting to adjust for the exclusion of cell phone only households.

- Minor Essential Revisions

2. Page 9, last paragraph, – “Being younger than 30 years and living in a household where the head of family was a university graduated were predictive…” should be “Being younger than 30 years old and living in a household where the head of the family was a university graduate…”

3. See comment on weighting to adjust for exclusion of cell phone only households above.

- Discretionary Revisions

4. The reference to the head of the family or household should be consistent throughout the paper.

5. Did interviewers ask those who had not yet received the vaccine voucher if they planned to get vaccinated once they received it?

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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