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Reviewer's report:

The aim of this work is clearly defined.
The method is appropriate and well described.
The discussion and conclusions are based on results
Express accurately the strengths and limitations of the study
The title and summary accurately convey what has been done and achieved in the study.
It is well written.

Minor Essential Revisions

1. In different parts of the work and in the tables is written:
   <= and >=
   I think it would be more correct to sets of symbols: # and #

2. Methods (Statistical Analyses) fifth paragraph says "... Altman and Bland" and should be "... Bland and Altman", according to the citation.

3. Results, paragraph eight, says "... to Altman and Bland" and should read "... Bland and Altman", according to the citation

4. - Figure 1, the title says "... Altman and Bland" and should be "... Bland and Altman", according to the citation

5. The bibliographic reference number 13. You should write: Bowman RL, De Lucia JL
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Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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