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Dear Editor,

We have now corrected the paper (MS: 2051661291426391) according to the comments in peer review for our manuscript. We would like to thank the reviewers for their helpful comments. Below are the detailed comments and our response to them.

Reviewer: Manuela De Allegri

Reviewer’s report:
The manuscript is now suitable for publication.
I would just like to suggest to add a few sentences in the discussion section to take into account methodological considerations. In particular, the authors should address the potential bias derived from not taking into account selection bias. If they choose not to use a model which allows to control for such bias, they should acknowledge and justify their decision.

Response: We agree and have added a paragraph to discuss the limitation of this study at the end of discussion section in the manuscript. Please see page 8-page9.
Thank you.