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Reviewer's report:

Reads much better and very important information that should be provided by HCPs.
*pg 6: Did Medical Ethical Committee of VUMC determine study was non-invasive and provided a waiver? I would say just that to make it very clear. Next sentence needs more clarification: maybe something like 'Throughout the study the subjects' confidentiality was respected...and explain how with using deidentified demographic data etc...
*same page A list with identification (remove s) numbers
*Pg 7: I would cut out discussion of 'Grounded theory' in data analysis section and just refer to it as qualitative content analysis; you can refer to constant comparison of data but it may confuse the readers to see GT here since you are not describing a process.
*Same page: In this study we adopted an iterative and inductive approach throughout the data analysis process
*Pg 8 et al.: for consistency, put n before or after each %, you did this with some but not with all %s; same with table 1 & 2 for each demographic or topic: include n with %
*clarify expert in the field: are a variety of experts used: are they always physicians or do you have genetics experts involved, or depending on who is on call? THis information would be important for countries and/or universities who want to develop similar online sites?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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