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Dear Editor,

Thank you for accepting our manuscript, and for the swift processing of reviewing. We are grateful for all the comments from reviewers, which we feel really improved the manuscript.

We made some last changes according to the comment from reviewer 3. Changes are marked in the manuscript with ‘track changes’, an outline of which follows below.

We have also made sincere efforts to comply with formatting instructions and hope that we have succeeded.

Yours sincerely,

Klara Johansson  Marie Hasselberg  Lucie Laflamme
Outline of modifications

Reviewer's report:
Minor:
I still do not understand this sentence. I think it is not correct. p7, l20.
"The third criterion is the consistency in the interpretation of clusters, i.e. if the categories that most significantly contributed to the formation of the cluster (p<0.05) provide for an informative interpretation."

Authors:
We have clarified this sentence as follows:

The third criterion is the *consistency* in the interpretation of clusters, which i.e. if the categories that most significantly contributed to the formation of the cluster (p<0.05) provide for an informative interpretation. The consistency in the classes’ interpretation refers to the information contained in a cluster, in terms of the categories that have the most significant contribution to its formation (p<0.05). If one – or several – cluster(s) is not very informative, one can consider moving up or down in the cluster hierarchy.