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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

I hereby submit a slightly modified version of the paper entitled: "The Primary Care Practitioner and the Diagnosis of Occupational Diseases", by Cegolon L et al.

In this version you can find the amendments requested by you and the Reviewer 1 marked in green. Particularly:

- The Abstract has been structured accordingly, with the three headings required (background, discussion, summary). In this respect I wonder whether "Background" should be used also in the body text instead of "Introduction"...

- The word "Practicioner" has been changed to "Practitioner" in the Key Words under the Abstract;

- (Setting up an Hypothesis of Work) The word "is" in the first sentence has been replaced by "are" are indicated by the reviewer 1;

The points highlighted in violet are changes from the previous version.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best Wishes.

Dr. Luca Cegolon, MD, FFPH
Corresponding Author