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**Reviewer's report:**

This paper is much improved and the scientific part is ready for publication.

The long (6 pages) and in some places repetitive preamble about health services in Hong Kong could be reduced and made clearer, possibly including some information in a table.

Some points

The results section of the abstract is very short and could contain more information

On page 9, US CHCs appear somewhat out of the blue. The paper would be improved if it introduced and justified the use of CHCs as a comparator system.

There is reference to a power calculation, for which the answer is the very round number of 1000, but the actual calculation (what were they trying to detect) is not specified

The authors should review sentence 1 of the conclusions - is that really what they mean to say - surely it is the opposite.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable
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